

**The Civil-Right Bill.**  
The concessions which different members of Congress who last winter voted for the civil-right bill have since found it necessary to make to the popular prejudice upon the subject, give some reason for hoping that the President will not have a chance to veto the bill because of that feature of it which touches the schools and colleges of the country, though the bill, without this feature, will continue to be a Republican measure.

We published several days ago the explicit statement that when called upon before a large crowd of voters, white and black, to say whether he would vote to strike out the words "common schools" from that bill, Mr. PLATT, of the Norfolk district, answered unequivocally that he would so vote. PLATT is one of the worst of carpet-baggers. He would promise to vote, as he voted last winter, in favor of mixed schools. It is thought that by so doing he could increase his chances of being returned to Congress. He would not hesitate to eat, drink, and sleep with negroes if by so doing he could further his own aims. He has no Caucasian jealousy, no pride of race, that would prevent him from doing whatever he might find it necessary to do in order to be re-elected to the House of Representatives. We take his promise, therefore, to mean that even in the darkness of his negro district light has flashed upon his vision and he sees that mixed schools are unpopular. He will, however, still vote for the bill as a whole, it being, as we have said, a Republican measure.

We noticed the other day that Senator Morton, of Indiana, and the Washington Republican had deemed it the part of policy to endeavor to show that the civil-right bill as it passed the Senate does not demand mixed schools but schools of equal grades for the two races. We showed at the same time that this interpretation of the bill was an after-thought, and that Mr. Morton was necessarily acting the hypocrite—not to say willful falsifier of known facts—when he thus pretended to construe the language of that bill. We quoted from the official report of the proceedings in the Senate extracts from speeches made by several senators in which they distinctly avowed themselves to be in favor of mixed schools. Mr. PRATT, of Indiana, Mr. MORTON's own colleague, was one of these gentlemen, and Mr. BUTTICK, of Massachusetts, was another. We could have quoted a conversational debate between Mr. Morton himself and another senator, in which the question was repeatedly put to Mr. Morton whether he was in favor of mixed schools, and in which he persistently refused to commit himself. It is worthy of remark, too, that Morton did not vote for or against any amendment that was offered, nor for or against the bill itself. He was always conveniently absent, or silent. But here is evidence to prove that he knew how the Senate understood the bill. On the 22d of May Mr. SARGENT, of California, the senator who tried to get Mr. Morton to commit himself, offered the following amendment:

"That nothing herein contained shall be construed to prohibit any State or school district from providing separate schools for persons of different sex or color where such separate schools are equal in all respects to others of the same grade established by such authority and supported by an equal *pro rata* expenditure of school funds."

This was rejected by the Senate. The vote was 21 to 26. Among the votes in favor of the amendment were those of seven Republicans. All the negative votes were Republicans, and were as follows:

YAYS.—Messrs. ALCOCK, Boutwell, Buckingham, Carpenter, Conkling, Edmunds, Flanagan, Frelinghuysen, Hamlin, Harvey, Howe, Ingalls, Mitchell, Morrill of Vermont, Oglesby, J. J. Patterson, Pearce, Pratt, Hamsey, Robertson, Spencer, Wadsworth, Williams, West, Windom, and Wright—26. [Morton absent.]

Here are the names of all the leading Republicans except MORTON and LOGAN. LOGAN voted "aye," with the Democrats, and may possibly be the Republican candidate for President; but none of the twenty-six will dare to run, after the demonstrations against the bill which the people all over the country have made. And it is not impossible that the record on this question of all the leading Republican candidates for the presidency may compel the Republican party to re-nominate General Grant. But however this may be, two things are apparent—first, that the Republican Senate is in favor of mixed schools; and as that body represents the Republican party, this party should be held responsible for its acts. And secondly, that whether the House of Representatives strike out the words "common schools" from the bill or not, the bill is a Republican measure and will be passed next winter, and will pass on all theatres, hotels, steamboats, &c., &c., to the negroes.

The Baltimore American, a Republican paper, which we think favored the bill last winter, now says:

"Senator Morton finds it expedient to adopt the *Terre Haute* view which was not the one urged at Washington. The modification of opinion thus announced may be expected to attend Senator Morton to Washington, and we have no doubt that other Congressmen will return with different views in regard to the propriety and expediency of some portions or all of the civil-right bill. We think it not at all improbable that the large majority behind the bill may decline to a minority."

This is encouraging at least. But it will not relieve the Republican party from the onus of the bill as it will still stand after the school feature is stricken out of it, nor b any excuse for electing to Congress men who are opposed only to this one most abominable provision of it.

A correspondent who states that over a thousand spiritualists are readers of the Dispatch requests us to publish their creed. We would like to accommodate them, but cannot. *Non nostrum tantum compere lites*! Our governing role in respect of all such matters. We would not print the creed of any church unless as an advertisement. The London papers speak of the present as the "foolish season"—that is, the time of year when newspapers may discuss, and are expected to discuss, many questions which in the busy season they would not so much as allude to. Our experience accords with that of the London press. We publish in August and September many communications and other articles which in December and January we could not find room for. But we must "draw the line somewhere," as the barber said when a coal-miner presented himself to be shaved. We cannot publish everything that is sent to us. This paragraph will inform some of our country friends why it is that we sometimes publish communications upon subjects which at other times we do not deem of sufficient importance to notice. Communications are like ice-water—

much better and much more to the popular taste sometimes than at other times. And upon us is devolved the duty of deciding as to the proper "things and seasons" for publishing whatever articles may be written for the Dispatch.

The Evening Journal will have its notion as to the civil-rights bill changed if it will calmly read and judiciously pass upon the evidence that we present on the subject this morning. Every one can see that the Senate positively refused to amend the bill as to allow separate schools, and consequently every truthful and unprejudiced man must admit that the bill does provide for mixed schools.

We have not changed our position as to what constitutes "authority" in matters of theological, though the *Enquirer* affects to think that we have "thrown up the sponge." The three busy B's are all full of fun. They would discuss any question in a serious mood if there is any chance for a joke or an anecdote to be used.

The Abingdon Virginian republishes the poem headed "My Wife and Child," written by Hon. H. R. JACKSON, of Georgia, and attributes it to FROENKEL JACKSON. This misstatement has been so often repeated and so often corrected that it would seem to be impossible to give it a final quietus.

The Republican Convention of the Wheeling district, West Va., adjourned without making a nomination, but passed a resolution recommending the Hon. W. T. WILLEY as worthy to receive the support of the Republicans. WILLEY is doomed to an ignominious defeat. "Independence" won't save his bacon.

THE THIRD TERM BETTER THAN THE CIVIL-RIGHTS BILL.—The New York Herald's Richmond correspondent reports an interview with ex-Senator Hunter, in which that distinguished gentleman said:

Mr. Hunter: If the South should be willing to take the long step towards despotism, which a third-term policy would indicate, to escape the civil-right bill, which shall cry shame upon her for immunity from outrage and oppression, I will at least endeavor to use some other means of defence. But God knows to what extremity I may be brought by the spectacle of the miseries of my countrymen. I have already been driven further than I expected by such a sight.

RICHMOND.—We have reason to believe that the liberal and persistent advertiser of the Richmond merchants and our earnest efforts editorially in behalf of that city have been attended with most satisfactory results. Each season an increasing number of merchants from this section have been induced to try Richmond houses, and they have been uniformly successful. There is no question but that the merchants can save by their stocks in Richmond upon as favorable terms as in any city North. With wonderful recuperative power Richmond worked out of her troubles and succeeded in ridding herself of all these disadvantages, so much of her old trade was lost and so much new trade kept away. Her merchants are able to offer now not only as low prices but as liberal terms as can be had in northern cities, and this taken in connection with small freight charges and less expenses, convenience of reach, and mutual acquaintance, and constant rapidity and security in the trade of this valuable section to Richmond. This fall her merchants are preparing for a liberal trade, and both in the magnitude of supplies and terms, are making arrangements that bid fair to give her a great stride forward in the work of competition with her northern rivals. We urge all of our merchant readers, as a matter of self-interest, to give Richmond a full and thorough trial in selecting their fall stocks.—Bedford Sentinel.

NATIONAL SPORTSMEN'S CONVENTION.—Niagara Falls, September 10.—The following resolutions were adopted by the National Sportsmen's Convention, in session here today:

Resolved, That the sole purpose of this organization is—

First, Protection of game and fish in all States and Territories, by procuring the passage in each State and in Congress of uniform cooperation and consistent laws, strictly prohibiting the destruction during breeding, nesting, and spawning seasons, and a reasonable time preceding and following the same; prohibiting the capture and destruction of certain species of game birds in nets and traps during all and all seasons; prohibiting the destruction of fish in navigable lakes and streams with nets or by means of chemicals; prohibiting the obstruction of free passage of fish by nets from such streams, and by the creation of fish dams and traps, and to procure the passage of such other and further legislation as may be necessary and proper for the full accomplishment of our purpose.

Second, Through subordinate organizations to insure a rigid enforcement of all game and fish protection laws, now or hereafter to be enacted.

Third, To secure by and through proper legislation the right of property in useful hunting dogs, making them when wantonly subjects of cruelty, or when wantonly killed or maimed subjects of misdemeanor.

Fourth, To secure through and by proper legislation the passage of laws prohibiting at any time and season the killing or destruction of all song and non-game birds.

Fifth, To organize and consolidate into sportsmen's clubs or associations in each State and Territory, to act under the jurisdiction of the National Association, in securing and enforcing proper protective legislation.

Resolved, That no naturalists, fish culturists, and sportsmen generally, to those having no associations be, and are hereby, earnestly requested without delay to organize local clubs therein, to form State associations under the jurisdiction of the national association, and that all State organizations now existing and operating be solicited to become members of this association, and that by unity of action throughout the land we may accomplish and perpetuate the great reform designed and desired.

Hon. Allen T. Brinsmade, of Ohio, presided over the convention. The first annual meeting will be held in Cleveland on the second Tuesday in June, 1875.

When Jeff. Davis was confined in Fortress Monroe one D. E. Moore, an Iowa soldier, who was one of the guard, stole from him a photographic album, containing family photographs and those of his staff, and distinguished Confederates with him. Although every effort was made, it could not be discovered at the time who had taken the book. Some time ago August D. E. Moore mailed a letter from Waterloo, Iowa, to some person at Erie, Pa., offering the album for sale, fixing the price at forty-five dollars, this being the price Moore claimed Barham had on a previous occasion offered him. The Erie man, instead of responding to Moore, sent the letter to Jefferson Davis. Davis wrote to ex-Senator George W. Jones, of Iowa, at Dubuque, sending him the letter, and asking him to get the album, if possible. Moore was found in Iowa county, and the album seized from him under a writ of replevin by an officer. The trial as to the right of the property was postponed until the 40th instant, in order to give Mr. Davis time to furnish the evidence of his right and title to it.

Frd. Douglas has given \$1,000 to Howard University.

**The Philadelphian and West Chester Railroad Company's Miles (2 Am. Loco Rea. 358).**  
The right to separate being clear in proper cases, and it being the subject of sound regulation, the question remaining to be considered is whether there is such a difference between the white and black races within this State, resulting from nature, law, and custom, as makes a reasonable ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar laws of its kind. We are not to separate races as we make the ground of separation. The question is one of difference, not of superiority or inferiority. Why the Creator made one black and the other white, we do not know; but the fact is apparent and the races are distinct, each producing its own kind and following the peculiar